

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,452	03/29/2004	David E. Slobodin	107773-132655	3144
45505	7590 11/03/2005		EXAM	INER
SCHWABE,	WILLIAMSON & W	BLACKMAN, ROCHELLE ANN J		
PACWEST CENTER, SUITE 1900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1211 SW FIFTH AVENUE PORTLAND, OR 97204			2851	

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\checkmark		
		Application No.	(K Applicant(s)		
	Office Action Comment	10/812,452	SLOBODIN ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Rochelle Blackman	2851		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet t	with the correspondence address		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period oure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO a, cause the application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 A	<u>ugust 2005</u> .			
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 29 March 2004 is/are:				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	<u>-</u>	• •		
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex				
		difficer. Note the attache	od Office Action of John P 10-132.		
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	militaria de como o	0.440() ()) (0)		
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
۵٫۱	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No.		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior				
	application from the International Bureau		· ·		
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.		
	•				
Attachment		, –			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date		
3) 🔯 Infom	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
Papei	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/12/05</u> .	6)	·		

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Owen et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0152146).

Regarding claim 1, Owen discloses a projection system (for example, see pg. 1, paragraph [0007] and FIGS. 2 and 3) comprising: a solid state light source (see 22 of FIG. 2, 52 of FIG. 3, 92 of FIG. 5; and 132 of FIG. 7); a sensor (see 32 of FIG. 2; 70 of FIG. 3; 104 of FIG. 5; and see 140 of FIG. 7) integrated with the solid state light source to monitor a region of the solid state light source for a thermal condition, and output a signal indicative of the thermal condition of the monitored region; and a controller (see 36 of FIG. 2 and 145 of FIG. 7) coupled to the sensor to conditionally initiate one or

Art Unit: 2851

more thermal management actions based at least in part on the thermal condition of the region as indicated by the signal.

Regarding claim 2, Owen discloses wherein the solid state light source comprises a selected one of a light emitting diode and a laser diode (see 22 and/or 52 in FIGS. 2 and 3).

Regarding claim 3, Owen discloses wherein the projection system further comprises an active cooling arrangement (see 38 of FIG. 2 along with paragraph [0037]; 80 and 82 of FIG. 3 along with paragraph [0039]; and 114 and 120 of FIGS. 4 and 5 along with paragraph [0042]) thermally coupled to the solid state light source, and the controller is coupled to the active cooling arrangement to control its operations (for example, see "controller" 36 relative to "solid state light source" 22 in FIG. 2 and see "controller" 145 relative to "solid state light source" 132 in FIG. 2), varying an amount of cooling the active cooling arrangement imparts on the solid state light source based at least in part on the thermal condition of the region as indicated by the signal (see paragraphs [0037], [0039], and [0042]).

Regarding claim 4, Owen discloses wherein the active cooling arrangement comprises a fan (see 82 of FIG. 3 and *fan* in paragraph [0044] of pg. 5), and the controller controls a speed of the fan, varying an amount of air flow the fan drives pass the solid state light source (the amount of air flow of "fan" 82 or the *fan* is considered to be varied when the controller turns the fan on and off).

Art Unit: 2851

pipe).

Regarding claim 5, Owen discloses wherein the active cooling arrangement comprises a cooling pipe (see *heat pipes* in paragraph [0037], see *heat pipe* and *fluid tube* in paragraph [0044], see 114 in FIG. 4 and paragraph [0042]) and the controller controls a flow rate of the cooling pipe, varying an amount of fluid flow pass the solid state light source (the amount of fluid flow is considered to be varied when "controller" 36 or 145 turns on the *heat pipes, heat pipe, fluid tube,* or "pipe" 114, allowing the fluid to pass through the pipe and turns off the pipe, not allowing fluid to pass through the

Regarding claim 6, Owen discloses wherein the active cooling arrangement comprises a thermoelectric cooler (see *thermal electric cooler* in paragraph [0044]), and the controller controls an operation level of the thermoelectric cooler, varying an amount of heat being removed from the solid state light source (the amount of heat being removed is considered to be varied when "controller" 36 of 145 is operating the "thermoelectric cooler" to pump heat from "solid state light source" 22 or 132 and not to pump heat from "solid state light source" 22 or 132).

Regarding claims 7 and 8, Owen discloses wherein the projection system further comprises drive circuitry (for example, see 28 in FIG. 2) coupled to the solid state light source to drive the solid state light source, and the controller is further coupled to the drive circuitry to influence its operation, indicating to the drive circuitry to vary an amount of drive voltage or current the drive circuitry applies to the solid state light source, based at least in part on the thermal condition indicated by the signal.

Art Unit: 2851

Regarding claim 9, Owen discloses wherein the projection system further comprises a processor (also see 36 of FIG. 2) coupled to the light source to control the light source to project an image; and an input interface coupled to the processor to facilitate input to the processor pixel data of the image.

Regarding claim 10, Owen discloses wherein the processor comprises the controller (also see 36 of FIG. 2).

Regarding claim 11, Owen discloses wherein the projection system further comprises a television tuner (see *small televisions* in paragraph [0056]) coupled to the input interface.

Regarding claims 12-18, the "method of operation" in a "projection apparatus" is similarly met by the features and functions of the above-mentioned elements recited for the "projection system" of claims 1 and 3-8.

Regarding claims 19 and 20, the "projection apparatus" is similarly met by the features and functions of the above-mentioned elements recited for the "projection system" of claims 1 and 3.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Muthu et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0066945), Average Light Sensing for PWM Control of RGB LED Based White Luminaries.

Young (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0122065), Dynamic Color Mixing LED Device.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2851

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rochelle Blackman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2113. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RB

William Perkey Primary Examiner

an BPenkey